



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,881	02/05/2004	Robert Thomas Uthe	5577-291 RSW920030281US1	5540
53792 7590 05/08/2008 DILLON & YUDELL LLP 8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY. SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759			EXAMINER NAJEE-ULLAH, TARIQ S	
			ART UNIT 2152	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/08/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/772,881

Applicant(s)

UTHE, ROBERT THOMAS

Examiner

TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH

Art Unit

2152

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH.(3) Carlos E. Amorin (reg. no. 48,379).(2) Dohm Chankong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stewart et al US 7047292.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the difference between the description of prioritizing network resources in the applicant's specification and the prioritizing network requests in the prior art of record. Examiner suggested adding the specific language defining resources from the applicant's specification to the actual claim. Further search and reconsideration are still required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bunjob Jaroenchorwanit/
SPE AU 2152

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required